

October 22nd, 2014

Mr. Barry F. Mardock
Deputy Director, Office of Regulatory Policy
Farm Credit Administration
1501 Farm Credit Drive
McLean, VA 22102-5090

Eligible Investments for Farm Credit System Associations and Funding Banks

Dear Mr. Mardock:

after this regulation is completed. The combination of the guidance memo and this regulation appear power grab on FCA's part. aimed at allowing FCA to approve virtually any type of investment. This is an egregious, self-serving and pilot projects, is not only confusing but backwards. FCA should withdraw the guidance memo until I am most concerned this proposal, combined with your recent "guidance memorandum" on investments "modernize" (as you put it) investment purposes for Farm Credit System (FCS) entities. Our bank is very concerned about the Farm Credit Administration's (FCA) regulatory proposal to

difference between a bond and a loan in terms of eligible investments. loans, not investments. I am concerned FCA is establishing an approval methodology that allows FCS Strict limitations are needed on FCS lenders' investments. FCS entities are GSEs and should focus on lenders to label as investments what are in effect loans. Please explain how FCA determines the

investments" category? does FCA determine what financing activity meets the definition of an investment under the "other documents? Is virtually any type of loan eligible if it is considered or labeled as an investment? How manufacturers if an FCS lender adequately filled out your September guidance memo and appropriate buildings, commercial real estate, shopping malls, movie theatres, apartment complexes, and pilot projects, which are supposedly being withdrawn? Would FCA approve investments in commercial are issued as bonds? Do you intend to approve all of the extensions of credit made under the various Please provide a list of eligible investments. Do you intend to approve non-farm business loans if they

supersedes the Act's lending authorities. approved by FCA." Congress did not grant you an "anything goes" approval authority in the law that If FCA does intend to approve these types of "investments" then you did not listen to the thousands of letters from bankers opposing your 2008 proposed rule. The rule states "no investment is ineligible if

the guidance memo and this proposal and reissue this proposal for comment after answering the portfolios should not exceed 10 percent of loan volumes, even if such volumes decline. Please withdraw All illegal investments now in FCS portfolios should be divested within six months. Investment





questions raised above. FCA should not approve investments that exceed to cope of the Act's lending parameters.

Sincerely,

EVP G. Loséph Morgán

www.newwashbank.com



October 22, 2014

Mr. Barry F. Mardock
Deputy Director, Office of Regulatory Policy
Farm Credit Administration
1501 Farm Credit Drive
McLean, VA 22102-5090

Re: Eligible FCS Investments

Dear Mr. Mardock:

district banks and their associations' investments. The regulation alludes to eligibility purposes, but appears intent on obscuring the scope of investment purposes that FCA intends to approve regulation goes far beyond instituting better risk management policies and 'modernization' for FCS I am writing in response to FCA's proposed regulation on eligible investments. I am very concerned the

management purposes." But it does not state what these purposes will include. I agree that limiting the go beyond the lending constraints of the Farm Credit Act (Act). disagree that it is an appropriate constraint if the FCA intends to approve investments for purposes that types and amounts of investments that associations may hold is prudent and should be adopted, but I The regulation states it is granting associations "greater flexibility to hold investments for other risk

manufacturing; apartment complexes; hotels, restaurants, commercial buildings; health care facilities case basis, then the agency has not truly withdrawn the 2008 investment proposal's objectives. purposes. If FCA intends to go ahead and approve these types of investments anyway, but on a case-byopposing FCA's 2008 investment proposal which would have permanently authorized these investment and non-authorized community and infrastructure purposes. Bankers submitted thousands of letters investment purpose such as those included in the pilot projects: non-farm business such as response to the earlier rulemaking." But that is not true if the FCA intends to approve any type of The FCA states "The revisions we now propose take into consideration the comments we received in

beyond the limitations on lending purposes in the Act. been approved by the FCA" suggesting that FCA is willing to approve investment types that go far agency will approve "other investments." However, FCA adds "that no investment is ineligible if it has The FCA seeks to avoid explanation of the scope and eligibility of investments by simply stating the

business, community and infrastructure purposes. apparently sought to inform FCS associations they can expect FCA to approve investments for non-farm plainly stated in the regulation. In fact, FCA issued a guidance memorandum in September which Whether FCA intends to approve investments that go beyond the Act's lending limitations should be I object to allowing





memo should not be issued until after the regulation has been finalized. this proposed rule. This rule should be reissued to address the issues raised in this letter. The guidance regulation. Therefore, I request that FCA withdraw both the recently issued guidance memorandum and makes little sense for FCA to issue guidance on these issues in advance of finalizing this proposed FCS associations to make either loans or investments for purposes not authorized in the Act. It also

investment purposes go beyond the Act's lending authority. eligibility of potential "investments" the agency may approve in the future and explain whether these purposes, please state which ones and why." FCA should explain its intent in terms of the scope and hold investments, and asks, "If you believe that our rule should expressly identify and require specific FCA asks whether this proposed rule should identify specific purposes for associations to purchase and

investments that are actually just illegal loans. not define how the agency distinguishes between loans and other investments or bonds and this should the proposed rule are thus making it difficult to assess the full extent of the proposal. Further, FCA does managing risks." The public has no basis for knowing how broad the investment purposes envisioned in community or infrastructure purposes, these types of investments are not "only for the purpose of FCA claims, for example, "that Associations are authorized to purchase and hold investments only for the purpose of managing risks." Yet, if FCA approves "other investments" for general business, be fully addressed in the proposal. Otherwise, the proposal appears to allow FCS lenders to make

comment on the investment types FCA intends to approve. greater detail on this subject and reissue this regulation to allow the public an opportunity to actually very broad nature of investment types it now intends to approve. Clearly FCA needs to provide much FCA states it has not revised its investment regulations since 1999 but refuses to disclose the apparently

purposes. FCS should not be engaging in exotic investments such as diversified investment funds. All investments should be primarily oriented towards managing surplus funds and for risk management by the U.S. or federal, state, and local agencies. The FCS should focus on making loans, not trying to become investment bankers FCS association's investments should not exceed the 10% loan volume cap including those guaranteed FCS associations' investments should not comprise more than 10% of their loan volumes. These

specific details of what the term "other investments" actually means. FCA should have the integrity to purposes FCA intends to approve going forward. The public needs an opportunity to comment on the ensure its approval of investments does not extend to financing activities that are inconsistent with the Again, I request that FCA withdraw its recently issued 'guidance memo' on investments in lieu of lending purposes of the Act. finalizing this proposal. However, this proposal needs to be reissued with an explanation of investment

6. Joseph Morgan